UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,000	12/21/2004	Carl Christensen	PU020292	6971
	7590 10/20/200 d, Patent Operations	EXAMINER		
THOMSON Lie		COLUCCI, MICHAEL C		
P.O. Box 5312 Princeton, NJ 0	8543-5312		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/519,000	CHRISTENSEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MICHAEL C. COLUCCI	2626		

	MICHAEL C. COLUCCI	2626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	isideration and/or search (see NO¯ w);	ΓE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a convergence NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 	·		•
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [•	-
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		i be entered and an e.	урынышто
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application ir	condition for allowan	ce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Richemond Dorvil/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626	/Michael C Colucci/ Examiner, Art Unit 2626		

Re, references not teaching "a first measurement of said estimated bit time" and "estimating minimum and maximum bit window times" (Remarks pages 8, 10, and 12), Examiner maintains the use of Adams while giving claims their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the supporting disclosure without importing limitations from the specification into the claims unnecessarily, wherein Adams Abstract immediately teaches a method of decoding an input signal which includes a measurement circuit having an input to receive a timing clock signal that is asynchronous with clocking of the input signal, to measure duration of a plurality of pulses received on the input signal in relation to frequency of the timing clock signal and a decode circuit to decode the input signal into digital data. The invention permits use of all digital components for decoding digital audio data encoding using biphase-mark encoded data according to the SPDIF or AES/EBU standards (Adams Abstract).

Consider that Adams teaches AES/EBU standards in direct relation to the scope of the present invention. Additionally, consider that Adams teaches the extraction of information from a signal (Adams Fig. 2, sub-frames, frames, etc.) in the same manner that the present invention teaches (present invention drawing Fig. 7). Notice the similarities between 352, 368, 362, 366, and 377 of the present invention compared to Adams X, Y, Z, Y, X, Y.

Maximum and minimum bit times are also taught while giving claims their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the supporting disclosure without importing limitations from the specification into the claims unnecessarily, wherein Adams teaches maximum and minimum bit times/length (page 6 lines 20-27) and (page 8 lines 8-21 & fig. 11) while giving claims their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the supporting disclosure without importing limitations from the specification into the claims unnecessarily.

Please consider the identical and well known approach to bit time measurement/estimation taught by both Adams as well as the present invention. The present invention teaches (present invention Table 1) in an identical manner as Adams and cites " minimum and maximum values are selected for a bit time window as follows: Bit window (rain) = 1.5(short); and Bit window (max) = long - 0.5(short). It should be noted that this process will identify a bit time window even if the received serialized digital audio data stream contains only zeros. More specifically, and as will be more fully described in Table I". Likewise, Adams teaches long/max and short/min values extracted in an identical scaled fashion (e.g. .5, 1, and 1.5) (page 8 lines 8-21 & fig. 11). The form of measurement, or estimation if you will, is taught by Adams with respect to times indented in a signal.

However, bit time estimation as taught by the present invention "is estimated by averaging a plurality of data stream pulse length". Examiner explicitly and previously cited Fletcher to teach this form of estimation (Fletcher Col. 3 lines 7-20) in order to relieve any uncertainties of bit estimation/measurement taught by Adams while giving claims their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the supporting disclosure without importing limitations from the specification into the claims unnecessarily.